

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Dershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 250 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2002

Number of students responding: 16

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	0	3.81	.3872
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	7	5	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.63	7	3.59	.6317
C. Encouraged class participation	10	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.64	1	3.89	.5545
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.93	5	3.82	.4384
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	11	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	0	3.65	.6015
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	6	4	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.46	5	3.49	.7498
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	6	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.53	1	3.56	.6530
H. Explained the grading system clearly	13	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.58	.6457
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	3	3.73	.5406
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	11	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.71	2	3.58	.6557
K. Had a personal interest in me	12	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	2	3.60	.6736
L. Treated me with respect	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	0	3.81	.4797
M. Was available for help outside of class	13	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	4	3.68	.5648
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	7	1	3	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3.39	0	3.41	.7470
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	9	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.76	0	3.62	.6122

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 3 Male: 13
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 3 Sophomore: 5 Junior: 4 Senior: 1 Other: 3
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?

Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 14

Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0

Elective, not required in any way: 2

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	1	8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
3	4	6	2	1

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	3	9	3	1	0	0	2.13	1.87	.9504
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	1	4	4	1	6	0	3.44	2.16	1.0053
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	0	1	3	0	12	3.75	2.04	.8935
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	3	0	0	0	0	13	1.00	2.08	1.0332
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	4	5	4	3	0	0	2.38	1.95	1.0092

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Dershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 295

Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2002

Number of students responding: 10

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	2	3.81	.3972
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.78	2	3.59	.6317
C. Encouraged class participation	3	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.60	0	3.69	.5545
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.93	5	3.82	.4384
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	7	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	0	3.65	.6015
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	2	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.54	1	3.49	.7498
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	1	4	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.41	1	3.58	.6530
H. Explained the grading system clearly	4	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.64	0	3.58	.6457
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	2	3.73	.5406
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	3	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.68	2	3.58	.6557
K. Had a personal interest in me	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	3	3.60	.6736
L. Treated me with respect	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.81	.4797
M. Was available for help outside of class	7	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	7	3.68	.5648
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	3	4	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.54	0	3.41	.7470
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	4	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.75	0	3.62	.6122

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 1 Male: 9
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 3 Sophomore: 3 Junior: 2 Senior: 0 Other: 2
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?

Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 10

Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0

Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	2	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	3	5	2	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	3	7	0	0	0	0	1.70	1.87	.9504
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	3	5	2	0	0	0	1.90	2.16	1.0053
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	0	1	0	0	9	3.00	2.04	.8935
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	2	4	2	1	0	1	2.22	2.08	1.0332
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	3	7	0	0	0	0	1.70	1.95	1.0092

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Dershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 470 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2002

Number of students responding: 11

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	3	3.81	.3972
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	9	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	2	3.59	.6317
C. Encouraged class participation	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.75	0	3.69	.5545
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	6	3.82	.4384
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	9	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.73	0	3.65	.6015
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	7	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.75	4	3.49	.7498
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	2	3.56	.6530
H. Explained the grading system clearly	9	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	0	3.58	.6457
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	1	3.73	.5406
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	2	3.58	.6557
K. Had a personal interest in me	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	1	3.60	.6736
L. Treated me with respect	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	0	3.81	.4797
M. Was available for help outside of class	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	9	3.68	.5648
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	6	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.67	0	3.41	.7470
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	0	3.62	.6122

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 5 Male: 6
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 7 Senior: 4 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 10
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0
- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	2	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	0	7	4	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	5	4	2	0	0	0	1.73	1.87	.9504
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	1	5	4	1	0	0	2.45	2.16	1.0053
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	1	0	1	0	9	3.00	2.04	.8935
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.00	2.08	1.0332
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	2	6	3	0	0	0	2.09	1.95	1.0092

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Dershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 385 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2002

Number of students responding: 9

I. Teacher Evaluation

													— Campus —		
	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.93	2	3.81 .3972
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	4	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.72	3	3.59 .6317
C. Encouraged class participation	5	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.51	1	3.69 .5545
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	2	3.82 .4384
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	0	3.65 .6015
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	2	3	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.44	3	3.49 .7498
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	3	3.56 .6530
H. Explained the grading system clearly	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	2	3.58 .6457
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	0	3.73 .5406
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	3	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.52	1	3.58 .6557
K. Had a personal interest in me	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	1	3.60 .6736
L. Treated me with respect	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	0	3.81 .4797
M. Was available for help outside of class	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	7	3.68 .5648
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	3	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.52	0	3.41 .7470
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	0	3.62 .6122

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 1 Male: 8
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 4 Junior: 3 Senior: 2 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 7
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 2
- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
1	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	0	6	3	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

							— Campus —	
	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	4	4	1	0	0	0	1.67	1.87 .9504
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	2	3	4	0	0	0	2.22	2.16 1.0053
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	1	1	0	0	7	2.50	2.04 .8935
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	1	0	1	0	0	7	2.00	2.08 1.0332
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	4	4	1	0	0	0	1.67	1.95 1.0092

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herb Dershen
Semester: Fall, 2001

Department: Computer Science

Course: 481 Section: 1

Number of students responding: 13

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	1	3.81	.4087
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	0	3.59	.6166
C. Encouraged class participation	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.93	2	3.67	.5589
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	10	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.80	.4441
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	2	3.66	.5715
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	9	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	0	3.47	.7704
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	11	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	1	3.53	.6770
H. Explained the grading system clearly	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.58	.6462
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	0	3.73	.5492
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	8	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.68	1	3.56	.6726
K. Had a personal interest in me	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	4	3.54	.7233
L. Treated me with respect	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	2	3.80	.5033
M. Was available for help outside of class	9	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	0	3.68	.5730
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	6	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.65	2	3.37	.7801
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	0	3.60	.6240

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 1 Male: 12
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 0 Senior: 13 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?

Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 12

Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0

Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	0	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	2	5	4	2

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	10	2	0	0	0	1	1.17	1.90	.9717
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	1	0	0	0	0	12	1.00	2.19	1.0080
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	4	6	0	0	0	3	1.60	2.16	.9375
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	3	1	0	0	0	9	1.25	2.12	1.0300
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	10	2	0	0	0	1	1.17	1.97	1.0202

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Department: Computer Science

Course: 225 Section: 1

Herb Dersheim
Semester: Fall, 2001

Number of students responding: 11

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.89	3	3.81	.4087
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	5	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.66	3	3.59	.6166
C. Encouraged class participation	4	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.52	0	3.67	.5589
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	4	3.80	.4441
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	6	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.83	0	3.66	.5715
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	5	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.49	4	3.47	.7704
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	4	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.55	0	3.53	.6770
H. Explained the grading system clearly	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	0	3.58	.6462
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	3	3.73	.5492
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	7	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	0	3.56	.6726
K. Had a personal interest in me	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	3	3.54	.7233
L. Treated me with respect	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	0	3.80	.5033
M. Was available for help outside of class	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	1	3.68	.5730
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	6	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.71	0	3.37	.7801
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	5	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.77	0	3.60	.6240

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 1 Male: 10
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 2 Sophomore: 3 Junior: 5 Senior: 0 Other: 1
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 9
Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
Elective, not required in any way: 1

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
1	1	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
1	1	6	3	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	5	5	1	0	0	0	1.84	1.90	.9717
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	2	4	3	1	1	0	2.55	2.19	1.0080
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	1	0	0	0	10	2.00	2.16	.9375
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	3	4	1	2	0	1	2.20	2.12	1.0300
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	5	4	2	0	0	0	1.73	1.97	1.0202

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Department: Computer Science

Course: 231 Section: 1

Herb Dershem
Semester: Fall, 2001

Number of students responding: 26

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	— Campus —	
															Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	22	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	3	3.81	.4087
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	8	7	4	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.50	12	3.59	.6166
C. Encouraged class participation	7	8	5	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.48	0	3.67	.5589
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.99	8	3.80	.4441
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	19	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	1	3.68	.5715
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	9	4	7	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3.45	7	3.47	.7704
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	18	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	9	3.53	.6770
H. Explained the grading system clearly	21	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	0	3.58	.6462
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	20	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	1	3.73	.5492
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	19	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	6	3.56	.6726
K. Had a personal interest in me	19	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	3	3.54	.7233
L. Treated me with respect	24	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	0	3.80	.5033
M. Was available for help outside of class	20	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	6	3.68	.5730
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	16	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	1	3.37	.7801
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	16	5	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.78	0	3.60	.6240

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 3 Male: 23
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 14 Junior: 4 Senior: 5 Other: 3
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 26
Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
1	3	14	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	1	10	1	14

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	— Campus —	
								Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	7	14	3	2	0	0	2.00	1.90	.9717
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	5	9	6	6	0	0	2.50	2.19	1.0080
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	0	2	0	0	24	3.00	2.16	.9375
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	0	9	3	2	0	12	2.50	2.12	1.0300
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	9	9	7	1	0	0	2.00	1.97	1.0202